

The Schur Multiplier and Central Embedding Problems

Hans Opolka

Braunschweig : Institut für Analysis und Algebra, 2010

Elektronisch veröffentlicht: 26.01.2010

<http://www.digibib.tu-bs.de/?docid=00032100>

THE SCHUR MULTIPLIER AND CENTRAL EMBEDDING PROBLEMS

Hans Opolka
 TU Braunschweig
 Institut für Analysis und Algebra
 Pockelsstrasse 14
 D - 38106 Braunschweig
 e-mail: h.opolka@tu-bs.de

Abstract: The purpose of this note is to complete previous observations on connections between central embedding problems for a profinite group and its Schur multiplier. In addition the concept of uniform triviality of the Schur multiplier is introduced.

AMS Classification: 20E18, 20J06, 19C09

Key words and phrases: Profinite groups, Schur multiplier, embedding problems

§ 1. Introduction

In this note we provide conditions for the triviality of the Schur multiplier of a profinite group in terms of central embedding or lifting problems for this group, thereby completing and improving upon certain results in [MO], [NO], [O1], [O2], [O3]. Lifting problems were already used to characterize profinite p -groups of cohomological dimension 1; comp. e.g. [SE2], Chapter I, 3.4.

Let \mathcal{G} be a profinite group and let A denote a finite abelian group. An *embedding or lifting problem* $E(G, A, c)$ for \mathcal{G} with kernel A consists of a finite quotient group G of \mathcal{G} such that A is a G -module and a 2-cocycle c on G with values in A , i.e. $c : G \times G \rightarrow A$ is a map which satisfies $c(s, t)c(st, r) = c(s, tr)s(c(t, r))$ for all $s, t, r \in G$. A *solution* for this embedding problem is a homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow G(c)$, where $G(c)$ denotes the group extension of G with kernel A which is defined by c , such that the composition of ϕ with the natural epimorphism $G(c) \rightarrow G$ is equal to the given epimorphism $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow G$.

For any profinite group \mathcal{V} , any discrete \mathcal{V} -module M and any integer $q \geq 0$ denote by $H^q(\mathcal{V}, M)$ the q -th cohomology group of \mathcal{V} with respect to M .

According to [H], 1.1, the following result holds.

(1.1) **Proposition** *The embedding problem $E(G, A, c)$ for \mathcal{G} is solvable if and only if the cohomology class $(c) \in H^2(G, A)$ belongs to the kernel of the inflation homomorphism*

$$\inf_G^{\mathcal{G}} = \inf_G^{\mathcal{G}}(A) : H^2(G, A) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{G}, A)$$

Two embedding problems $E(G_1, A, c_1)$, $E(G_2, A, c_2)$ for \mathcal{G} with the same kernel A are said to be *equivalent* if $\inf_{G_1}^{\mathcal{G}}((c_1)) = \inf_{G_2}^{\mathcal{G}}((c_2))$. This is an equivalence relation on the set of all embedding problems for \mathcal{G} with kernel A . The embedding problem $E(G, A, c)$ for \mathcal{G} is said to be *cyclic* if G is cyclic, and it is said to be *central* if the action of G on A is trivial. A central embedding problem for \mathcal{G} is said to have a *cyclic reduction*, see [O1], if it is equivalent to a cyclic central embedding problem for \mathcal{G} .

For \mathcal{G} and every finite quotient group G of \mathcal{G} the group C of all roots of unity in \mathbb{C} and all the finite cyclic subgroups C_m of order $m \in \mathbb{N}$ are regarded as \mathcal{G} -resp. G -modules with respect to the trivial action of \mathcal{G} resp. G .

We recall, see [O2], §2, p. 226, or [MO], section 1, that a central embedding problem $E(G, C_m, c)$ for \mathcal{G} is said to be *weakly solvable* if there is some multiple m' of m such that the central embedding problem $E(G, C_{m'}, c_{m,m'})$ for \mathcal{G} , where

$$c_{m,m'} : G \times G \xrightarrow{c} C_m \hookrightarrow C_{m'}$$

denotes the 2-cocycle which is obtained by composing c with the natural embedding $C_m \hookrightarrow C_{m'}$, is solvable.

§ 2. Cyclic reduction and weak solvability of central embedding problems

Let \mathcal{G} be a profinite group which acts trivially on C . Then $H^2(\mathcal{G}, C)$ is called the *Schur multiplier* of \mathcal{G} .

(2.1) **Proposition** *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (a) $H^2(\mathcal{G}, C) = 1$
- (b) Every central embedding problem $E(G, C_m, c)$ for \mathcal{G} is weakly solvable
- (c) Every central embedding problem $E(G, C_m, c)$ for \mathcal{G} has a cyclic reduction, i.e. is equivalent to a cyclic central embedding problem for \mathcal{G}

The equivalence of statements (a) and (b) is implicit in [O2], and it is shown in the proof of proposition (1) of [O1] that statement (a) implies statement (c). For the sake of completeness we give a full proof for this proposition: Assume that statement (a) holds. Let $E(G, C_m, c)$ be a central embedding problem for \mathcal{G} . Then there is a continuous function $\alpha : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow C$ such that $\inf_G^{\mathcal{G}}(c) = \delta\alpha$. Put $\mathcal{N} := \{s \in \mathcal{G} : \alpha(s) \in C_m\}$. \mathcal{N} is the kernel of the continuous homomorphism

$$\bar{\alpha} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow C/C_m, \quad s \mapsto \alpha(s) \bmod C_m.$$

Hence $\mathcal{N} \leq \mathcal{G}$ is an open normal subgroup, and the quotient group $Z := \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}$ is a finite cyclic group. The central embedding problem $E(Z, C_m, \delta\alpha)$ for \mathcal{G} is equivalent to $E(G, C_m, c)$ because $\inf_G^{\mathcal{G}}(c) = \inf_Z^{\mathcal{G}}((\delta\alpha))$. Hence statement (c) holds. It is also easily seen that statement (a) implies statement (b). In fact, the equation $\inf_G^{\mathcal{G}}(c) = \delta\alpha$ shows that $\alpha^m : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow C$ is a continuous homomorphism, hence there is a positive integer n such that $\alpha^{mn} = 1$. This in conjunction

with (1.1) shows that the central embedding problem $E(G, C_{mn}, c_{m,mn})$ for \mathcal{G} is solvable and therefore statement (b) holds. Assume that statement (b) holds. Take $(t) \in H^2(\mathcal{G}, C)$. Then there is a finite quotient group G of \mathcal{G} and some $(c) \in H^2(G, C_m)$, where $m = \text{order of } (t)$, such that $(t) = (\iota_{C_m, C} \circ \inf_G^{\mathcal{G}})((c))$, where $\iota_{C_m, C} : H^2(\mathcal{G}, C_m) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{G}, C)$ is the homomorphism induced by the natural embedding $C_m \hookrightarrow C$. Let m' be a multiple of m such that the central embedding problem $E(G, C_{m'}, c_{m,m'})$ for \mathcal{G} is solvable. Then according to (1.1) there is a continuous function $\alpha : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow C_{m'}$ such that $(\delta\alpha) = \inf_G^{\mathcal{G}}((c_{m,m'})) = (\inf_G^{\mathcal{G}} \circ \iota_{m,m'})((c)) = (\iota_{m,m'} \circ \inf_G^{\mathcal{G}})((c))$, where $\iota_{m,m'} : H^2(-, C_m) \rightarrow H^2(-, C_{m'})$ is the respective homomorphism induced by the natural embedding $C_m \hookrightarrow C_{m'}$. Hence $(t) = (\iota_{C_{m'}, C} \circ \iota_{m,m'} \circ \inf_G^{\mathcal{G}})((c)) = (\delta(\iota_{C_{m'}, C}(\alpha)))$. Therefore statement (a) holds. Assume that statement (c) holds. In order to show that statement (b) holds it is sufficient to show that every cyclic central embedding problem $E(Z, C_m, c)$ for \mathcal{G} is weakly solvable. Since $H^2(Z, C)$ is trivial there is a function $\alpha : Z \rightarrow C$ such that $\iota_{C_m, C}((c)) = (\delta\alpha)$. Hence $\alpha^m : Z \rightarrow C$ is a homomorphism and therefore $\alpha^{m|Z|} = 1$. This implies that the central embedding problem $E(Z, C_{m|Z|}, c_{m,m|Z|})$ is solvable and therefore $E(Z, C_m, c)$ is weakly solvable.

Assume that the central embedding problem $E(G, C_m, c)$ for \mathcal{G} is weakly solvable. The *lifting index* $l = l(E(G, C_m, c))$ of $E(G, C_m, c)$ is defined as the smallest multiple m' of m such that the central embedding problem $E(G, C_{m'}, c_{m,m'})$ for \mathcal{G} is solvable. Let $E(Z, C_m, d)$ be a cyclic reduction of $E(G, C_m, c)$. Since the homomorphisms $\iota_{m,m'} : H^2(-, C_m) \rightarrow H^2(-, C_{m'})$ commute with the corresponding inflation homomorphisms we have

$$(2.2) \text{ Remark } l(E(G, C_m, c)) = l(E(Z, C_m, d))$$

Assume that $H^2(\mathcal{G}, C) = 1$. Then the *reduction index* $r = r(E(G, C_m, c))$ of a central embedding problem $E(G, C_m, c)$ for \mathcal{G} is defined to be the minimal order of a cyclic quotient group Z of \mathcal{G} such that $E(G, C_m, c)$ is equivalent to a central embedding problem $E(Z, C_m, d)$ for \mathcal{G} .

(2.3) **Proposition** Assume that $H^2(\mathcal{G}, C) = 1$. Then $l(E(G, C_m, c)) = m \cdot r(E(G, C_m, c))$

Proof: Denote by $E(Z, C_m, d)$ a cyclic reduction of $E(G, C_m, c)$ such that $l(E(G, C_m, c)) = l(E(Z, C_m, d))$. Since Z is cyclic there is a function $\alpha : Z \rightarrow C$ such that $\iota_{C_m, C}((d)) = (\delta\alpha)$. Hence $\alpha^m \in \text{Hom}(Z, C)$ and therefore $\alpha^{mr} = 1$ where $r = \exp(Z)$. Hence $\iota_{m,mr}((d)) = (1)$, and therefore $l(G, C_m, c)$ divides $mr(E(G, C_m, c))$. On the other hand for $l = l(E(G, C_m, c))$ there is a function $\alpha : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow C_l$ such that $\inf_G^{\mathcal{G}}(\iota_{m,l}(c)) = \delta\alpha$ and $\alpha^m \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, C_l)$ is of order l/m . Put $Z := \mathcal{G}/\text{Ker}(\alpha^m)$. The central embedding problem $E(Z, C_m, \delta\alpha)$ for \mathcal{G} is a cyclic reduction of $E(G, C_m, c)$. Hence r divides l/m . The assertion follows.

Let k be a field with separable algebraic closure \bar{k} and absolute Galois group $G_k = G(\bar{k}/k)$.

(2.4) **Examples** We list some examples of fields k such that its absolute Galois group $\mathcal{G} = G_k$ has trivial Schur multiplier.

- (a) k a local number field; see [SE2], Chapter II, 5.3, Proposition 15.
- (b) k a global number field; see [T]; [SE1], §6.
- (c) k is an extension of transcendence degree 1 over an algebraically closed field; see [SE2], Chapter II, 3.3, b).
- (d) k is the rational function field in one variable over a real closed field; see [LO], 5, (ii).

In certain situations it is possible to bound the lifting index of a central embedding problem $E(G, C_m, c)$ for G_k by using central simple algebras, see [O2], (4.5). This method is based on [H], 3.8, and yields the following result.

(2.5) **Proposition** *Let $E(G, C_m, c)$ be a central embedding problem for G_k with $G = G(K/k)$. Let m' be a multiple of m and denote by $\mu_{m'} \leq \bar{k}^*$ the group of roots of unity of order m' . Assume that the cyclotomic extension $k(\mu_{m'})/k$ is cyclic and that the central simple crossed product algebra $\Gamma(K(\mu_{m'})/k(\mu_{m'}), c)$ over $k(\mu_{m'})$ splits. Then the lifting index $l = l(E(G, C_m, c))$ divides m' .*

For other relations between the Schur multiplier of G_k and the Brauer group of k see [LO].

§ 3. Uniform triviality of the Schur multiplier of a profinite group

Let \mathcal{G} be a profinite group.

Definition The Schur multiplier $H^2(\mathcal{G}, C)$ of \mathcal{G} is said to be *uniformly trivial* if it is trivial and if there is a positive integer r depending only on \mathcal{G} such that the reduction index of every central embedding problem of the form $E(G, C_m, c)$ for \mathcal{G} divides r . The smallest positive integer with this property is denoted by $r(\mathcal{G})$, and if $r(\mathcal{G})$ exists then we indicate this fact sometimes by writing $H^2(\mathcal{G}, C) \equiv 1$ or by $H^2(\mathcal{G}, C) \equiv_{r(\mathcal{G})} 1$.

Examples (a) Denote by k a local number field. Then for every prime number p the maximal pro- p -quotient group $G_k(p)$ of the absolute Galois group G_k satisfies $H^2(G_k(p), C) \equiv_{r(G_k(p))} 1$, where $r(G_k(p))$ depends only on $G_k(p)$; this follows by applying (2.3) to the results in [MOM], §2 and §3, which lead to upper bounds for the lifting index in terms of the roots of unity of p -power order contained in k , and from local class field theory.

(b) Let k be a number field, let p be a prime number and let S denote a finite set of places of k which contains all places above p and infinity. Then the Galois group $G_k(S, p)$ of the maximal p -extension of k which is unramified outside S satisfies $H^2(G_k(S, p), C) \equiv_{r(G_k(S, p))} 1$ where $r(G_k(S, p))$ depends only on $G_k(S, p)$; in fact, a bound can be given by using the exponent of the p -torsion part of the maximal abelian profinite quotient group of $G_k(S, p)$; see [MH] in connection with [M], Theorem 3, p. 75, and [NO], (3.1), p. 12 ff.

References

- [H] K. Hoechsmann: Zum Einbettungsproblem, JRAM, 229, 1968, 81-106
- [LE] H. W. Leopoldt: Zur Arithmetik in abelschen Zahlkörpern, JRAM, 209, 1962, 54-71
- [LO] F. Lorenz: On a connection between the Schur multiplier and the Brauer group of a field, Questiones Mathematicae, 9, 1986, 349-362
- [MH] P. Mihailescu: The T and T* components of Λ -modules and Leopoldt's conjecture. arxiv.org/abs/0905.1274, September 2009
- [M] K. Miyake: Leopoldt kernels and central extensions of algebraic number fields, Nagoya Math. Journal, 120, 1990, 67-76
- [MOM] K. Miyake, N. Ormerod: Abundant central extensions with non-trivial genera, Nagoya Math. Journal, 95, 1984, 51-62
- [MO] K. Miyake, H. Opolka: Indices of central embedding problems and applications, Journal of Number Theory, 31, 1989, 191-200
- [O1] H. Opolka: Cyclic reduction of central embedding problems, Journal of Algebra, 159, 1993, 343-346
- [O2] H. Opolka: Cyclotomic splitting fields and strict cohomological dimension, Israel Journal of Mathematics, 52, 1985, 225-230
- [O3] H. Opolka: Lifting problems in group cohomology and applications, Journal of Math. Sciences, 106 (4), 2001, 3222-3237
- [NO] Q. D. Th. Nguyen, H. Opolka: Numerical invariants of central embedding problems, Journal of Number Theory, 52, 1995, 7-16
- [SE1] J.P. Serre: Modular forms of weight one and Galois representations, in: "Algebraic number fields" (A. Fröhlich, ed.), Academic Press, London, 1977, 193-268
- [SE2] J.P. Serre: Galois cohomology, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2002
- [T] J. Tate: Duality theorems in Galois cohomology over number fields, Proceedings of the International Congress of Math., Stockholm, 1962, 288-295

Typeset with ScientificWord 3.0 and AMS LaTeX